

Spectra of Random Matrices Close to Unitary and Scattering Theory for Discrete-Time Systems

Yan V. Fyodorov

Fachbereich Physik, Universität-GH Essen, D-45117 Essen, Germany

(February 8, 2008)

We analyze statistical properties of complex eigenvalues of random matrices $\hat{A}$ close to unitary. Such matrices appear naturally when considering quantized chaotic maps within a general theory of open linear stationary systems with discrete time. Deviation from unitarity are characterized by rank M and eigenvalues $T_i, i = 1, \dots, M$ of the matrix $\hat{T} = \hat{\mathbf{1}} - \hat{A}^\dagger \hat{A}$. For the case $M = 1$ we solve the problem completely by deriving the joint probability density of eigenvalues and calculating all n -point correlation functions. For a general case we present the correlation function of secular determinants.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Nk, 05.45.Mt

The theory of wave scattering can be looked at as an integral part of the general theory of linear dynamic open systems in terms of the input-output approach. These ideas and relations were developed in system theory and engineering mathematics many years ago, see papers [1–3] and references therein. Unfortunately, that development went almost unnoticed by the majority of physicists working in the theory of chaotic quantum scattering and related phenomena, see [4,5] and references therein. For this reason I feel it could be useful to recall some basic facts of the input-output approach in such a context.

An Open Linear System is characterized by three Hilbert spaces: the space E_0 of internal states $\Psi \in E_0$ and two spaces $E_\pm$ of incoming (-) and outgoing (+) signals or waves also called input and output spaces, made of vectors $\phi_\pm \in E_\pm$. Acting in these three spaces are four operators, or matrices: a) the so-called fundamental operator $\hat{A}$ which maps any vector from internal space E_0 onto some vector from the same space E_0 b) two operators $\hat{W}_{1,2}$, with $\hat{W}_1$ mapping incoming states onto an internal state and $\hat{W}_2$ mapping internal states onto outgoing states and c) an operator $\hat{S}_0$ acting from E_- to E_+ .

We will be interested in describing the dynamics $\Psi(t)$ of an internal state with time t provided we know the state at initial instant $t = 0$ and the system is subject to a given input signal $\phi_-(t)$. In what follows we consider only the case of the so-called stationary (or *time-invariant*) systems when the operators are assumed to be time-independent. Let us begin with the case of continuous-time description. The requirements of linearity, causality and stationarity lead to a system of two dynamical equations:

$$\begin{aligned} i\frac{d}{dt}\Psi &= \hat{A}\Psi(t) + \hat{W}_1\phi_-(t) \\ \phi_+(t) &= \hat{S}_0\phi_-(t) + i\hat{W}_2\Psi(t) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Interpretation of these equations depends on the nature of state vector Ψ as well as of vectors $\phi_\pm$ and is different in different applications. In the context of quantum mechanics one relates the scalar product $\Psi^\dagger\Psi$ with the probability to find a particle inside the "inner" region at time t , whereas $\phi_\pm^\dagger\phi_\pm$ stays for probability currents flowing in and out of the region of internal states (the number of particles coming or leaving the inner domain per unit time). The condition of particle conservation then reads as:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Psi^\dagger\Psi = \phi_-^\dagger\phi_- - \phi_+^\dagger\phi_+ \quad (2)$$

It is easy to verify that Eq.(2) is compatible with the dynamics Eq.(1) only provided the operators satisfy the following relations:

$$\hat{A}^\dagger - \hat{A} = i\hat{W}\hat{W}^\dagger, \quad \hat{S}_0^\dagger\hat{S}_0 = \hat{\mathbf{1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{W}^\dagger \equiv \hat{W}_2 = -\hat{S}_0\hat{W}_1^\dagger$$

which shows, in particular, that $\hat{A}$ can be written as $\hat{A} = \hat{H} - \frac{i}{2}\hat{W}\hat{W}^\dagger$, with a Hermitian $\hat{H} = \hat{H}^\dagger$.

The meaning of $\hat{H}$ is transparent: it governs the evolution $i\frac{d}{dt}\Psi = \hat{H}\Psi(t)$ of an inner state Ψ when the coupling $\hat{W}$ between the inner space and input/output spaces is absent. As such, it is just the Hamiltonian describing the closed inner region. The fundamental operator $\hat{A}$ then has a natural interpretation of the effective non-selfadjoint Hamiltonian describing the decay of the probability from the inner region at zero input signal: $\phi_-(t) = 0$ for any $t \geq 0$. If, however, the input signal is given in the Fourier-domain by $\phi_-(\omega)$, the output signal is related to it by:

$$\phi_+(\omega) = \left[\hat{S}(\omega)\hat{S}_0 \right] \phi_-(\omega) \quad , \quad \hat{S}(\omega) = \hat{\mathbf{1}} - i\hat{W}^\dagger \frac{1}{\omega\hat{\mathbf{1}} - \hat{A}} \hat{W} \quad (3)$$

where we assumed $\Psi(t = 0) = 0$. The unitary matrix $\hat{S}(\omega)$ is known in the mathematical literature as the characteristic matrix-function of the non-Hermitian operator $\hat{A}$. In the present context it is just the scattering matrix whose unitarity is guaranteed by the conservation law Eq(2).

The contact with the theory of chaotic scattering is now apparent: the expression Eq.(3) was frequently used

in the physical literature [4,5] as a starting point for extracting universal properties of the scattering matrix for a quantum chaotic systems within the so-called random matrix approach. The main idea underlying such an approach is to replace the actual Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ by a large random matrix and to calculate the ensuing statistics of the scattering matrix. The physical arguments in favor of such a replacement can be found in the cited literature.

In particular, most recently the statistical properties of complex eigenvalues of the operator $\hat{A}$ as well as related quantities were studied in much detail [5–8]. Those eigenvalues are poles of the scattering matrix and have the physical interpretation as *resonances* - long-lived intermediate states to which discrete energy levels of the closed systems are transformed due to coupling to continua.

In the theory presented above the time t was a continuous parameter. On the other hand, a very useful instrument in the analysis of classical Hamiltonian systems with chaotic dynamics are the so-called area-preserving chaotic maps [9]. They appear naturally either as a mapping of the Poincare section onto itself, or as a result of stroboscopic description of Hamiltonians which are periodic function of time. Their quantum mechanical analogues are unitary operators which act on Hilbert spaces of finite large dimension N . They are often referred to as evolution, scattering or Floquet operators, depending on the physical context where they are used. Their eigenvalues consist of N points on the unit circle (eigenphases). Numerical studies of various classically chaotic systems suggest that the eigenphases conform statistically quite accurately the results obtained for unitary random matrices (Dyson circular ensembles).

Let us now imagine that a system represented by a chaotic map ("inner world") is embedded in a larger physical system ("outer world") in such a way that it describes particles which can come inside the region of chaotic motion and leave it after some time. Models of such type appeared, for example, in [10] where a kind of scattering theory for "open quantum maps" was developed based on a variant of Lipmann-Schwinger equation.

On the other hand, in the general system theory [2,3] dynamical systems with discrete time are considered as frequently as those with continuous time. For linear systems a "stroboscopic" dynamics is just a linear map $(\phi_-(n); \Psi(n)) \rightarrow (\phi_+(n); \Psi(n+1))$ which can be generally written as:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi(n+1) \\ \phi_+(n) \end{pmatrix} = \hat{V} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi(n) \\ \phi_-(n) \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{A} & \hat{W}_1 \\ \hat{W}_2 & \hat{S}_0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

Again, we would like to consider a conservative system, and the discrete-time analogue of Eq.(2) is:

$$\Psi^\dagger(n+1)\Psi(n+1) - \Psi^\dagger(n)\Psi(n) = \phi_-^\dagger(n)\phi_-(n) - \phi_+^\dagger(n)\phi_+(n)$$

which amounts to unitarity of the matrix $\hat{V}$ in Eq.(4).

In view of such a unitarity $\hat{V}$ of the type entering Eq.(4) can always be parametrized as (cf. [11]):

$$\hat{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{v}_1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1 - \hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}^\dagger} & \hat{\tau} \\ \hat{\tau}^\dagger & -\sqrt{1 - \hat{\tau}^\dagger\hat{\tau}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \hat{v}_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

where the matrices $u_{1,2}$ and $v_{1,2}$ are unitary and $\hat{\tau}$ is a rectangular $N \times M$ diagonal matrix with the entries $\tau_{ij} = \delta_{ij}\tau_i$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, $1 \leq j \leq M$, $0 \leq \tau_i \leq 1$.

Actually, it is frequently convenient to redefine input, output and internal state as: $\phi_-(n) \rightarrow \hat{v}_2^{-1}\phi_-(n)$, $\phi_+ \rightarrow \hat{v}_1\phi_+(n)$ and $\Psi(n) \rightarrow \hat{u}_2\Psi(n)$ which amounts just to choosing an appropriate basis in the corresponding spaces. The transformations bring the matrix $\hat{V}$ to somewhat simpler form:

$$\hat{V} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{u}\sqrt{1 - \hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}^\dagger} & u\hat{\tau} \\ \hat{\tau}^\dagger & -\sqrt{1 - \hat{\tau}^\dagger\hat{\tau}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

where $\hat{u} = \hat{u}_2^\dagger\hat{u}_1$. Such a form suggests clear interpretation of the constituents of the model. Indeed, for $\hat{\tau} = 0$ the dynamics of the system amounts to: $\Psi(n+1) = \hat{u}\Psi(n)$. We therefore identify $\hat{u}$ as a unitary evolution operator of the "closed" inner state domain decoupled both from input and output spaces. Correspondingly, $\hat{\tau} \neq 0$ just provides a coupling that makes the system open and converts the fundamental operator $\hat{A} = \hat{u}\sqrt{1 - \hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}^\dagger}$ to a contraction: $1 - \hat{A}^\dagger\hat{A} = \hat{\tau}\hat{\tau}^\dagger \geq 0$. As a result, the equation $\Psi(n+1) = \hat{A}\Psi(n)$ describes an irreversible decay of any initial state $\Psi(0)$ for zero input $\phi_-(n) = 0$, whereas for a nonzero input and $\Psi(0) = 0$ the Fourier-transforms $\phi_\pm(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e^{in\omega} \phi_\pm(n)$ are related by a unitary scattering matrix $\hat{S}(\omega)$ given by:

$$\hat{S}(\omega) = -\sqrt{1 - \hat{\tau}^\dagger\hat{\tau}} + \hat{\tau}^\dagger \frac{1}{e^{-i\omega} - \hat{A}} \hat{u}\hat{\tau} \quad (7)$$

Assuming further that the motion outside the inner region is regular, we should be able to describe generic features of open quantized chaotic maps choosing the matrix $\hat{u}$ to be a member of a Dyson circular ensemble. Then, averaging $\hat{S}(\omega)$ in Eq.(7) over $\hat{u}$ one finds: $\hat{\tau}^\dagger\hat{\tau} = 1 - \left| \overline{\hat{S}(\omega)} \right|^2$. Comparing this result with [4,5] we see that M eigenvalues $0 \leq T_i \leq 1$ of the $M \times M$ matrix $\hat{T} = \hat{\tau}^\dagger\hat{\tau}$ play a role of the so-called transmission coefficients and describe a particular way the chaotic region is coupled to the outer world.

In fact, this line of reasoning is motivated by recent papers [12,13]. The authors of [12] considered the Floquet description of a Bloch particle in a constant force and periodic driving. After some approximations the evolution of the system is described by a mapping: $\mathbf{c}_{n+1} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{c}_n$, where the unitary Floquet operator $\mathbf{F} = \hat{S}\hat{U}$ is the product of a unitary "M-shift" $\hat{S} : S_{kl} = \delta_{l,k-M}$, $l, k = -\infty, \infty$ and a unitary matrix $\hat{U}$. The latter is effectively of the form $\hat{U} = \text{diag}(\hat{d}_1, \hat{u}, \hat{d}_2)$, where $\hat{d}_{1,2}$ are

(semi)infinite diagonal matrices and $\hat{u}$ can be taken from the ensemble of random $N \times N$ unitary matrices.

One can check that such a dynamics can be easily brought to the standard Eqs.(4,5) with the fundamental operator being $N \times N$ random matrix of the form $\hat{A} = \sqrt{1 - \hat{\tau}^\dagger \hat{\tau}} \hat{u}$, and all M diagonal elements of $N \times M$ matrix τ are equal to unity. Actually, the original paper [12] employed a slightly different but equivalent construction dealing with an "enlarged" internal space of the dimension $N + M$. We prefer to follow the general scheme because of its conceptual clarity.

Direct inspection immediately shows that the non-vanishing eigenvalues of the fundamental operator $\hat{A}$ as above coincide with those of $(N - M) \times (N - M)$ subblock of the random unitary matrix u . Complex eigenvalues of such "truncations" of random unitary matrices were studied in much detail by the authors of a recent insightful paper [13]. They managed to study eigenvalue correlations analytically for arbitrary N, M . In particular, they found that in the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed M these correlation functions practically coincide [12] with those obtained earlier [5,6] for operators of the form $\hat{A} = \hat{H} - \frac{i}{2} \hat{W} \hat{W}^\dagger$ occurring in the theory of open systems with continuous-time dynamics.

Such a remarkable universality, though not completely unexpected, deserves to be studied in more detail. In fact, truncated unitary matrices represent only a particular case of random contractions A . Actually, some statistical properties of general subunitary matrices were under investigation recently as a model of scattering matrix for systems with absorption, see [14].

The main goal of the present paper is to add to our knowledge on spectra of random contractions for a given deviation from unitarity.

The ensemble of general $N \times N$ random contractions $\hat{A} = \hat{u} \sqrt{1 - \hat{\tau} \hat{\tau}^\dagger}$ can be described by the following probability measure in the matrix space:

$$\mathcal{P}(\hat{A}) d\hat{A} \propto \delta(\hat{A}^\dagger \hat{A} - \hat{G}) d\hat{A} \quad , \quad \hat{G} \equiv 1 - \hat{\tau} \hat{\tau}^\dagger \quad (8)$$

where $d\hat{A} = \prod_{ij} dA_{ij} dA_{ij}^*$. The $N \times N$ matrix $\hat{\tau} \hat{\tau}^\dagger = 1 - \hat{G} \geq 0$ is natural to call the deviation matrix. It has M nonzero eigenvalues coinciding with the transmission coefficients T_a introduced above. The particular choice $T_{i \leq M} = 1$, $T_{i > M} = 0$ corresponds to the case considered in [13]. In what follows we assume all $T_i \leq 1$.

Our first step is, following [6,13], introduce the Schur decomposition $\hat{A} = \hat{U}(\hat{Z} + \hat{R})\hat{U}^\dagger$ of the matrix A in terms of a unitary $\hat{U}$, diagonal matrix of the eigenvalues $\hat{Z}$ and a lower triangular $\hat{R}$. One can satisfy oneself, that the eigenvalues $z_1, \dots, z_N$ are generically not degenerate, provided all $T_i < 1$. Then, the measure written in terms of new variables is given by $d\hat{A} = |\Delta(\{z\})|^2 d\hat{R} d\hat{Z} d\mu(U)$, where the first factor is just the Vandermonde determinant of eigenvalues z_i and $d\mu(U)$ is the invariant measure on the unitary group. The joint probability density of complex eigenvalues is then given by:

$$\mathcal{P}(\{z\}) \propto |\Delta(\{z\})|^2 \times \int d\mu(U) d\hat{R} \delta\left((\hat{Z} + \hat{R})(\hat{Z} + \hat{R})^\dagger - \hat{U}^\dagger \hat{G} \hat{U}\right) \quad (9)$$

The integration over $\hat{R}$ can be performed with some manipulations using its triangularity (some useful hints can be found in [13]). As the result, we arrive at:

$$\mathcal{P}(\{z\}) \propto |\Delta(\{z\})|^2 \times \int d\mu(U) \prod_{l=1}^N \delta\left(|z_1|^2 \dots |z_l|^2 - \det\left[\left(\hat{U}^\dagger \hat{G} \hat{U}\right)_{ij}\right]_{(i,j)=1,\dots,l}\right) \quad (10)$$

The remaining integration over the unitary group poses a serious problem. We found no way to overcome the difficulties in a general case. However, when the rank M of the deviation matrix $\hat{\tau} \hat{\tau}^\dagger$ is unity, we managed to perform the integral by methods of [6] and arrived at a very simple expression:

$$\mathcal{P}(\{z\}) \propto T^{1-N} |\Delta(\{z\})|^2 \delta\left(1 - \hat{T} - |z_1|^2 \dots |z_N|^2\right) \quad (11)$$

provided $0 \leq |z_l| \leq 1$ for all eigenvalues, and zero otherwise. Here $0 < T < 1$ is the only non-zero eigenvalue of the deviation matrix. The Eq.(11) can be used to extract all n -point correlation functions:

$$R_n(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{N!}{(N-n)!} \int d^2 z_{n+1} \dots d^2 z_N \mathcal{P}(\{z\}) \quad (12)$$

To achieve this it is convenient to use the Mellin transform with respect to the variable $\zeta = 1 - T$:

$$\tilde{R}_n(s; \{z\}_n) = \int_0^\infty d\zeta \zeta^{s-1} [(1 - \zeta)^{N-1} R_n(\{z\}_n)] \quad (13)$$

It is easy to notice that such a transform brings $\mathcal{P}(\{z\})$ to the form suitable for exploitation of the orthogonal polynomial method [15]. The corresponding polynomials are $p_k(z) = \sqrt{k!} z^k$ orthonormal with respect to the weight $f(z) = |z|^{2(s-1)}$ inside the unit circle $|z| \leq 1$. Following the standard route we find:

$$\tilde{R}_n(s; \{z\}_n) \propto \frac{\det[K(z_i, z_j)]_{(i,j)=1,\dots,n}}{s(s+1)\dots(s+N-1)} \quad (14)$$

where the kernel is

$$K(z_1, z_2) = (f(z_1)f(z_2))^{1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} p_k(z_1^*) p_k(z_2) \quad (15)$$

$$= |x|^{s-1} \left(s \phi(x) + x \frac{d}{dx} \phi(x) \right) \Big|_{x=z_1^* z_2}$$

and $\phi(x) = (x^N - 1)/(x - 1)$. Thus, the expression Eq.(14) can be rewritten as:

$$\tilde{R}_n(s; \{z\}_n) \propto \frac{\prod_{l=1}^n |z_l|^2}{s(s+1)\dots(s+N-1)} \sum_{l=0}^n s^l q_l(\{z\}_n);$$

$$q_0(\{z\}_n) = \det \left[x \frac{d}{dx} \phi(x) \Big|_{x=(z_i^* z_j)} \right]_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$$

$$\dots$$

$$q_n(\{z\}_n) = \det \left[\phi(x) \Big|_{x=(z_i^* z_j)} \right]_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$$

and can be easily Mellin-inverted yielding finally the original correlation functions in the following form:

$$R_n(\{z\}_n)|_{|z_n| \leq 1} \propto T^{1-N} \theta(T-1+a) \sum_{l=0}^n q_l(\{z\}_n) \quad (16)$$

$$\times \left(\frac{d}{da} a \right)^l \left[\frac{1}{a} \left(1 - \frac{1-T}{a} \right) \right]^{N-1} \Big|_{a=\prod_{i=1}^n |z_i|^2}$$

where $\theta(x) = 1$ for $x \geq 0$ and zero otherwise. This equation is exact for arbitrary N . Let us now investigate the limit $N \gg n$ and use:

$$\left(\frac{d}{da} a \right)^l \left[\frac{1}{a} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{a} \right) \right]^{N-1} \approx \left(\frac{N\xi}{a-\xi} \right)^l \frac{1}{a} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{a} \right)^{N-1}$$

which allows one to rewrite the correlation function as:

$$R_n(\{z\}_n) \propto T^{1-N} \frac{1}{a} \left(1 - \frac{1-T}{a} \right)^{N-1} \theta(T-1+a) \quad (17)$$

$$\times \det_{i,j=1,\dots,n} \left(\frac{N(1-T)}{a-1+T} \phi(x) + x \frac{d}{dx} \phi(x) \right) \Big|_{x=z_i^* z_j}$$

Further simplifications occur after taking into account that eigenvalues z_i are expected to concentrate typically at distances of order of $1/N$ from the unit circle. Then it is natural to introduce new variables y_i, ϕ_i according to $z_i = (1 - y_i/N) e^{i\phi_i}$ and consider y_i to be of the order of unity when $N \rightarrow \infty$. As to the phases ϕ_i , we expect their typical separation scaling as: $\phi_i - \phi_j = O(1/N)$. Now it is straightforward to perform the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ explicitly and bring Eq.(17) to the final form:

$$R_n(\{z\}_n) \propto e^{-g \sum_{i=1}^n y_i} \det \left[\int_{-1}^1 d\lambda (\lambda + g) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \lambda \delta_{ij}} \right]_{i,j=1,n}$$

with $g = 2/T - 1$ and $\delta_{ij} = N(\phi_i - \phi_j) - i(y_i + y_j)$. The expression above coincides in every detail with that obtained in [6] for random matrices with rank-one deviation from Hermiticity provided one remembers that mean linear density of phases ϕ_i along the unit circle is $\nu = 1/(2\pi)$. This completes the proof of universality for rank-one deviations.

Being so far unable to evaluate the spectral correlation function for an arbitrary $\hat{A}$, we nevertheless succeeded in calculating closely related but simpler object, namely, the correlation function of secular determinants:

$$I(z_1, z_2) = \left\langle (\det(z_1 \mathbf{1} - \hat{A})) \det(z_2^* \mathbf{1} - \hat{A}^\dagger) \right\rangle_A \quad (18)$$

where the angular brackets stand for the averaging over the probability density in Eq.(8). Such a correlation function for unitary $\hat{A}$ corresponding to quantum chaotic maps attracted much attention recently [9,16]. For a non-Hermitian $\hat{A}$ similar objects were studied in [6].

Relegating details to a more extended publication, we just present the final result in terms of eigenvalues $g_i = 1 - T_i$ of the matrix $\hat{G}$:

$$I(z_1, z_2) = \quad (19)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^N (z_1 z_2^*)^k \binom{N}{k}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq N} g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \dots g_{i_k}$$

For random unitary matrices all $T_i = 0$ and the expression above reduces to $I(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{k=0}^N (z_1 z_2^*)^k$ in agreement with [16].

The author is obliged to B. Khoruzhenko and especially to H.-J. Sommers for stimulating discussions and suggestions. The financial support by SFB 237 "Unordnung und grosse Fluktuationen" as well as of the grant No. INTAS 97-1342 is acknowledged with thanks.

* Address since March 2000:

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Brunel University, Cleveland Road, Uxbridge, UB8 3PH, UK

- [1] M.S.Livsic "Operators, Oscillations, Waves: Open Systems." (Translations of Mathematical Monographs, v.34 (AMS, Providence, RI, 1973))
- [2] D.Z.Arov *Sib.Math.Journ.* **20** (1979), 149
- [3] J.W.Helton *J.Funct.Anal.* **16** (1974), 15
- [4] C.H.Lewenkopf and H.A.Weidenmüller *Ann.Phys. NY* **212** (1991), 53
- [5] Y.V.Fyodorov and H.-J.Sommers *J.Math.Phys.* **38** (1997), 1918
- [6] Y.V.Fyodorov and B.A.Khoruzhenko *Phys.Rev.Lett.* **83** (1999), 65
- [7] H.-J.Sommers, Y.V.Fyodorov and M.Titov *J.Phys.A* **32** (1999), L77
- [8] K.Frahm et al., *Europhys.Lett.*, **49**, (2000) 48
- [9] U.Smilansky in: *Supersymmetry and Trace Formulae: Chaos and Disorder*, edited by I.V.Lerner et al., (Kluwer, NY, 1999), p. 173
- [10] F.Borgonovi, I. Guarneri and D.L.Shepelyansky *Phys.Rev. A* **43**, 4517 (1991); F.Borgonovi and I.Guarneri *Phys.Rev. E* **48** R2347 (1993)
- [11] P.A.Mello and J.-L.Pichard *J.de Phys. I* **1**, 493 (1991)
- [12] M.Glück, A.R.Kolovski and H.J.Korsch *Phys.Rev. E* **60**, 247 (1999)
- [13] K.Zyczkowski and H.-J. Sommers *chao-dyn/9910032*
- [14] E. Kogan, P.A.Mello and He Liqun *Phys.Rev. E* **61** (2000) R17; C.W.J. Beenakker and P.W.Brouwer *e-preprint cond-mat/9908325*
- [15] M.L. Mehta *Random Matrices* 2nd ed. (Academic Press, London, 1991)
- [16] F.Haake et al. *J.Phys.A:Math.Gen.* **29**, 3641 (1996); S.Kettelman, D.Klakow and U.Smilansky *J.Phys.A* **30**, 3643 (1997)